



Colloid transport in water saturated porous media: dispersivity, cotransport and gravity effects

Constantinos V. Chrysikopoulos¹, Vasileios E. Katzourakis² and Vasiliki I. Syngouna²

¹School of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Crete, Greece. ²Department of Civil Engineering, University of Patras, Greece





Part A: Colloid Size-dependent dispersivity



Previous studies

Early breakthrough of colloids as compared to conservative tracers

"Larger colloids are restricted by the size exclusion effect from sampling all paths"

References:

Toran and Palumbo,1992 Powelson et al., 1993 Grindrod et al., 1996 Dong et al., 2002 Keller et al., 2004. Vasiliadou and Chrysikopoulos, 2011 Sinton et al., 2012



Effective dispersion in a uniform fracture

Early work on particle size-dependent dispersivity (Micromodel)





Reference: Auset and Keller, WRR, 2004.





Mass recovered: $M_r = 28.8$ to 41.0 %

Reference: Keller, Sirivithayapakorn, and Chrysikopoulos, WRR, 2004.

Question: Should dispersivity decrease or increase with colloid particle size?

Another look at particle size-dependent dispersivity

Materials and methods

| Columns: | diameter = 2.5 cm length = 15 & 30 cm packed with glass beads ($d_c=2 \text{ mm}$) placed horizontally to minimize gravity effects |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Colloids: | fluorescent polystyrene microspheres d_p = 28, 300, 600, 1000, 1750, 2100, 3000, 5000 and 5500 nm fluorescence spectrophotometry |
| Tracer: | bromide in the form of NaBr (10 ⁻⁵ M) ion chromatography |
| Source: | "instantaneous" pulse |
| d _p /d _c : | <0.00275 below the straining and wedging threshold of >0.004 (Johnson et al., 2010) or >0.003 (Bradford and Bettahar, 2006) |

Transport experiments were performed under unfavorable colloid attachment conditions (pH=7, I_s=0.1 mM).

Mathematical Model

Governing transport equation

(Sim and Chrysikopoulos, WRR, 1998)

$$\frac{\partial C(t,x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} \frac{\partial C^*(t,x)}{\partial t} = D_{\rm L} \frac{\partial^2 C(t,x)}{\partial x^2} - U \frac{\partial C(t,x)}{\partial x} - \lambda C(t,x) - \lambda^* \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} C^*(t,x)$$

Colloid attachment onto the solid matrix

$$\frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} \frac{\partial C^{*}\left(t,x\right)}{\partial t} = k_{\rm c} C\left(t,x\right) - k_{\rm r} \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} C^{*}\left(t,x\right) - \lambda^{*} \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} C^{*}\left(t,x\right)$$

Assuming that $C^{*}(0,x)=0$

$$C^{*}(t,x) = \frac{k_{o}\theta}{\rho_{b}} \int_{0}^{t} C(\tau,x) exp\left[-\left(k_{r}\frac{\theta}{\rho_{b}} + \lambda^{*}\right)(t-\tau)\right] d\tau$$

Initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{split} & C(0,x) = 0 \\ & -D_L \frac{\partial C(t,0)}{\partial x} + UC(t,0) = M_\delta \delta(t) \\ & \qquad M_\delta = \frac{M_{in}}{A_c \theta} \\ & \frac{\partial C(t,\infty)}{\partial x} = 0 \end{split}$$

Analytical solution

(Thomas and Chrysikopoulos, JoCIS, 2007)

$$\begin{split} & C(t,x) = \frac{M_{\delta}}{D^{1/2}} exp \bigg[\frac{Ux}{2D_{L}} - Ht \bigg] \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{(\pi t)^{1/2}} exp \bigg[\frac{-x^{2}}{4D_{L}t} + \bigg(H - A - \frac{U^{2}}{4D_{L}} \bigg) t \bigg] \\ & \quad - \frac{U}{2D_{L}^{1/2}} exp \bigg[\frac{Ux}{2D_{L}} + (H - A) t \bigg] erfc \bigg[\frac{x}{2(D_{L}t)^{1/2}} + \frac{U}{2} \bigg(\frac{t}{D_{L}} \bigg)^{1/2} \bigg] \\ & \quad + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{B\zeta}{\left\{ B\zeta(t-\zeta) \right\}^{1/2}} I_{1} \bigg[2 \Big(B\zeta(t-\zeta) \Big)^{1/2} \bigg] \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{(\pi\zeta)^{1/2}} exp \bigg[\frac{-x^{2}}{4D_{L}\zeta} + \bigg(H - A - \frac{U^{2}}{4D_{L}} \bigg) \zeta \bigg] \\ & \quad - \frac{U}{2D_{L}^{1/2}} exp \bigg[\frac{Ux}{2D_{L}} + (H - A) \zeta \bigg] erfc \bigg[\frac{x}{2(D_{L}\zeta)^{1/2}} + \frac{U}{2} \bigg(\frac{\zeta}{D_{L}} \bigg)^{1/2} \bigg] \Biggr\} d\zeta \Biggr\} \end{split}$$

$$A = K_c + \lambda, \qquad B = \frac{k_c k_r \theta}{\rho_b}, \qquad H = \frac{k_c \theta}{\rho_b} = \lambda^*$$

I₁ = Modified Bessel function (first-kind, order-one)



Figure A1. Early breakthrough



Figure A2. Breakthrough curves for two different colloids





Hypothesis that the population regression is linear: Accepted F test-Hypothesis that the slope=0: Rejected

(Chrysikopoulos and Katzourakis, WRR, 2015)



Figure A4. Longitudinal dispersivity (averaged) as a function of colloid diameter.

Scaling of D_L with Peclet number

(Delgado, 2007)

$$\frac{D_{L}}{\mathcal{D}_{e}} = \frac{Pe_{m}}{6} \left[ln \left(\frac{3\tau}{2} Pe_{m} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \right], \qquad Pe_{m} >> 1$$

$$\mathsf{Pe}_{\mathsf{m}} = \frac{\mathsf{Ud}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{e}}} \qquad [-]$$



Figure A10. Scaling of the longitudinal hydrodynamic dispersion coefficients (circles for colloids, and triangles for tracer) with Péclet number.

Mass Recovery

$$M_{r}(L) = \frac{m_{o}(L)}{M_{\delta} / U}$$

$$m_{0}(L) = \int_{0}^{\infty} C(L,t) dt \left[\frac{tM}{L^{3}}\right]$$

Zeroth absolute temporal moment

(Quantifies the total mass in the concentration distribution curve)



Figure A8. Mass recovery as a function of particle size



Figure A9. Comparison between the target interstitial velocities (based on Q) and fitted colloid particle velocities .



Figure A6. Longitudinal dispersivity as a function of interstitial velocity





Figure A7. Compilation of 432 longitudinal dispersivities as a function of length scale. Molecular sized solutes are represented by gray symbols, and colloids/biocolloids by various colored symbols. The solid line is a standard linear regression line.

References:

S-M [Schulze-Makuch, 2005] CLH [Chrysikopoulos et al., 2000] DHC [Dela Barre et al., 2002] BMN [Baumann et al., 2002] CPK [Chrysikopoulos et al., 2011] AC [Anders and Chrysikopoulos, 2005] KSC [Keller et al., 2004] VC [Vasiliadou & Chrysikopoulos, 2011] SC [Syngouna & Chrysikopoulos, 2011] SVK [Chrysikopoulos et al., 2012] BW [Bauman and Werth, 2004] BTN [Baumann et al., 2010]



Figure A5. Schematic illustration of: (a) conservative solute and (b) colloid transport in water saturated porous media.

The tracer can sample the entire velocity spectrum within the parabolic profile (green region). Colloids do not sample the truncated portion of the parabolic velocity profile (red region). Also, colloids do not enter pore spaces with opening smaller than d_0 , which essentially leads to reduction of effective porosity.



Figure A9. How "garbage" results are often produced.

Part B: Gravity effects





Figure B1. Schematic illustration of a packed column with up-flow velocity having orientation (-i) with respect to gravity. The gravity vector components are: $g_{(i)} = g_{(-z)} \sin\beta i$, and $g_{(-j)} = -g_{(-z)} \cos\beta j$.

"restricted" particle settling velocity

$$U_{s} = -f_{s} \frac{\left(\rho_{p} - \rho_{w}\right)d_{p}^{2}}{18\mu_{w}}g_{(i)}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{(\mathbf{i})} = \mathbf{g}_{(-z)} \sin \beta \mathbf{i}$$

 f_s [-] = correction factor accounting for particle settling in granular porous media (Wan et al., 1995)



Figure B2. Restricted particle settling velocity as a function of column orientation and flow direction for colloids (clay: $d_p=2 \mu m$, $\rho_p=2.65 \text{ g/cm}^3$), bacteria (*P. putida*: $d_p=2.2 \mu m$, $\rho_p=1.45 \text{ g/cm}^3$), and viruses (MS2: $d_p=25 \text{ nm}$, $\rho_p=1.42 \text{ g/cm}^3$).

Mathematical Model Governing transport equation

$$\frac{\partial C(t,x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} \frac{\partial C^*(t,x)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C(t,x)}{\partial x^2} - U_{\rm tot} \frac{\partial C(t,x)}{\partial x} - \lambda C(t,x) - \lambda^* \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} C^*(t,x)$$
$$U_{\rm tot} = U + U_{\rm s}$$

Colloid attachment onto the solid matrix

(Sim and Chrysikopoulos, TiPM, 1998)

$$\frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} \frac{\partial C^{\rm s}\left(t,x\right)}{\partial t} = k_{\rm c} C\left(t,x\right) - k_{\rm r} \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} C^{\rm s}\left(t,x\right) - \lambda^{\rm s} \frac{\rho_{\rm b}}{\theta} C^{\rm s}\left(t,x\right)$$

Initial and boundary conditions

C(0,x) = 0

$$-D\frac{\partial C(t,0)}{\partial x} + U_{tot}C(t,0) = \begin{cases} U_{tot}C_0 & 0 < t \le t_p \\ 0 & t > t_p \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial C(t,\infty)}{\partial x} = 0$$

Analytical solution (Sim and Chrysikopoulos, WRR, 1996)

$$C(t,x) = \begin{cases} \Omega(t,x) & 0 < t \leq t_{\rm p} \\ \Omega(t,x) - \Omega(t-t_{\rm p},x) & t > t_{\rm p} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \Omega(t,x) &= \frac{C_0 U_{tot}}{D^{V^2}} exp \bigg[\frac{U_{tot} x}{2D} \bigg] \bigg\{ \int_0^t \int_0^\tau H e^{-H\tau} J_0 \bigg[2 \big(B\xi \big(\tau - \xi \big) \big)^{V^2} \bigg] \\ & \cdot \bigg\{ \frac{1}{(\pi\xi)^{V^2}} exp \bigg[\frac{-x^2}{4D\xi} + \bigg(H - A - \frac{U_{tot}^2}{4D} \bigg) \xi \bigg] \\ & - \frac{U_{tot}}{2D^{V^2}} exp \bigg[\frac{U_{tot} x}{2D} + \big(H - A \big) \xi \bigg] \\ & \cdot erfc \bigg[\frac{x}{2(D\xi)^{V^2}} + \frac{U_{tot}}{2} \bigg(\frac{\xi}{D} \bigg)^{V^2} \bigg] \bigg\} d\xi \ d\tau \\ & + e^{-Ht} \int_0^t J_0 \bigg[2 \big(B\xi \big(t - \xi \big) \big)^{V^2} \bigg] \\ & \cdot \bigg\{ \frac{1}{(\pi\xi)^{V^2}} exp \bigg[\frac{-x^2}{4D\xi} + \bigg(H - A - \frac{U_{tot}^2}{4D} \bigg) \xi \bigg] \\ & - \frac{U_{tot}}{2D^{V^2}} exp \bigg[\frac{-x^2}{4D\xi} + \bigg(H - A - \frac{U_{tot}^2}{4D} \bigg) \xi \bigg] \\ & - \frac{U_{tot}}{2D^{V^2}} exp \bigg[\frac{U_{tot} x}{2D} + \big(H - A \big) \xi \bigg] \\ & \cdot erfc \bigg[\frac{x}{2(D\xi)^{V^2}} + \frac{U_{tot}}{2} \bigg(\frac{\xi}{D} \bigg)^{V^2} \bigg] \bigg\} d\xi \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

 $A{=}k_{c}{+}\lambda,$

 $B=k_ck_r\theta/\rho$,

 $H{=}(k_c\theta/\rho){+}\lambda^*,$

J₀ = Bessel function (first-kind of zeroth-order)



Figure B3. Simulations of normalized colloid break through curves for packed columns with various orientations and flow directions under: (a) continuous, and (b) broad pulse inlet boundary conditions.

Materials & methods

| Columns: | diameter = 2.5 cm |
|----------|--|
| | length = 30 cm |
| | packed with glass beads ($d_c = 2 \text{ mm}$) |
| | columns were placed horizontally (0°), |
| | vertically (90°), |
| | inclined (45°). |
| Clays: | kaolinite (KGa-1b), specific surface area of 10.1 m ² /g, |
| | d _p =843±126 nm |
| | montmorillonite (STx-1b), specific surface area of 82.9 m²/g, |
| | d _p =1187±381 nm |
| | $C_o = 10^7$ to 10^{13} particles/mL |
| | detection by UV-vis spectrophotometer |
| Tracer: | bromide in the form of NaBr (10 ⁻⁵ M) |
| | ion chromatography |

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Unfavorable to deposition transport conditions (pH=7, I_s=0.1 mM).} \\ \mbox{Experimental data fitted with ColloidFit.} \end{array}$



Figure B4. Experimental setup showing the various column arrangements: (a) horizontal, (b) diagonal, and (c) vertical.



kaolinite: KGa-1b montmorillonite: STx-1b

H: horizontal VU: vertical up-flow VD: vertical down-flow DU: diagonal up-flow DD: diagonal down-flow

Figure B5. Experimental data (symbols) and fitted model simulations (curves) (Chrysikopoulos and Syngouna, ES&T, 2014)

Part C: Clay & virus cotransport





Figure C2. Schematic illustration of the various concentrations accounted for in the cotransport numerical model

Three-dimensional cotransport mathematical model – (Transport of dense colloids)

Governing transport equation

(Katzourakis and Chrysikopoulos, AWR, 2014 & JoCH 2015)

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial C_{c}}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta} \frac{\partial C_{c^{\star}}}{\partial t} - D_{xc} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{c}}{\partial x^{2}} - D_{yc} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{c}}{\partial y^{2}} - D_{zc} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{c}}{\partial z^{2}} + \left(U_{x} + U_{cs(\pm I)}\right) \frac{\partial C_{c}}{\partial x} + U_{cs(-k)} \frac{\partial C_{c}}{\partial z} = F_{c} \\ U_{cs(\pm I)} &= f_{s} \frac{\left(\rho_{p} - \rho_{w}\right) d_{p}^{2}}{18\mu_{w}} g_{(\pm I)} \qquad g_{(\pm I)} = g \cos\beta \\ U_{cs(\pm k)} &= f_{s} \frac{\left(\rho_{p} - \rho_{w}\right) d_{p}^{2}}{18\mu_{w}} g_{(\pm I)} \qquad g_{(\pm I)} = -g \sin\beta \end{split}$$

Colloid attachment onto the solid matrix (Sim and Chrysikopoulos, 1998; Compere et al., 2001)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}} &= \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(r)} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(i)} \implies \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{b}}}{\theta} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{b}}}{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(r)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(i)}}{\partial t} \right] \\ & \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{b}}}{\theta} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(r)}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\star(t)}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{c}} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star(t)} - \mathbf{c}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{b}}}{\theta} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(r)} \\ & \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{b}}}{\theta} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}^{\star}}^{(i)}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\star(0)}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{c}} \end{split}$$



Figure C1. Schematic illustration of the gravity vector components for: (a) horizontal flow, (b) up-flow, and (c) down-flow conditions. The angle β $(0^{\circ} \le \beta \le 180^{\circ})$ is between the main flow direction (x-direction) and the direction of gravity.

Three-dimensional cotransport mathematical model - (Transport of viruses)

Governing transport equation

(Abdel-Salam and Chrysikopoulos, 1995; Vasiliadou and Chrysikopoulos, 2011; Katzourakis and Chrysikopoulos, 2014)

0 -

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (C_v + \frac{\rho_b}{\theta} C_{v^*} + C_c C_{vc} + \frac{\rho_b}{\theta} C_{c^*} C_{v^* c^*}) &= D_{xv} \frac{\partial^2 C_v}{\partial x^2} + D_{xvc} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (C_c C_{vc}) + D_{yv} \frac{\partial^2 C_v}{\partial y^2} \\ &+ D_{yvc} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (C_c C_{vc}) + D_{zv} \frac{\partial^2 C_v}{\partial z^2} + D_{zvc} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (C_c C_{vc}) \\ &- (U_x + U_{vs(\pm i)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (C_v + C_c C_{vc}) - U_{vs(-k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (C_v) - U_{vcs(-k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (C_c C_{vc}) \\ &- \lambda_v C_v - \lambda_{vc} C_v C_{vc} - \lambda_v \cdot \frac{\rho_b}{\theta} C_{v^*} - \lambda_{v^* c^*} \frac{\rho_b}{\theta} C_c \cdot C_{v^* c^*} + F_v(t, x, y, z) \end{split}$$

Accumulation terms

(Sim and Chrysikopoulos, 1998; Bekhit et al., 2009; Katzourakis and Chrysikopoulos, 2014)

$$\frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}\frac{\partial C_{v^{*}}}{\partial t} = r_{v \cdot v^{*}}C_{v} - r_{v^{*} \cdot v}\frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}C_{v^{*}} - \lambda_{v^{*}}\frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}C_{v^{*}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{C}_{c} \mathbf{C}_{vc}) = \mathbf{r}_{v-vc} (\mathbf{C}_{c})^{2} \mathbf{C}_{v} - \mathbf{r}_{vc-v} (\mathbf{C}_{c} \mathbf{C}_{vc}) + \frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta} \mathbf{r}_{v^{*}c^{*}-vc} (\mathbf{C}_{c^{*}} \mathbf{C}_{v^{*}c^{*}}) - \mathbf{r}_{vc-v^{*}c^{*}} (\mathbf{C}_{c} \mathbf{C}_{vc}) - \lambda_{vc} \mathbf{C}_{c} \mathbf{C}_{vc}$$

$$\frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(C_{c^{*}}C_{v^{*}c^{*}}) = \frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}r_{v-v^{*}c^{*}}(C_{c^{*}})^{2}C_{v} - \frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}r_{v^{*}c^{*}-v}(C_{c^{*}}C_{v^{*}c^{*}}) + r_{vc-v^{*}c^{*}}(C_{c}C_{vc}) - \frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}r_{v^{*}c^{*}-vc}(C_{c^{*}}C_{v^{*}c^{*}}) - \lambda_{v^{*}c^{*}}\frac{\rho_{b}}{\theta}C_{c^{*}}C_{v^{*}c^{*}}$$

Source configurations

$$F_v(t,x,y,z) = 1 \ \delta(x-40)\delta(y-15)\delta(z-15) \ \frac{pfu}{mL \cdot hr}$$

$$F_{c}(t,x,y,z) = \begin{cases} 100 \ \frac{mg}{mL \cdot hr} & \frac{(x-6)^{2}}{0.4^{2}} + \frac{(y-15)^{2}}{0.3^{2}} + \frac{(z-15)^{2}}{0.3^{2}} \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$C_{i}(0,x,y,z) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2}C_{i}(t,0,y,z)}{\partial x^{2}} = \frac{\partial^{2}C_{i}(t,L_{x},y,z)}{\partial x^{2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{i}(t,x,0,z)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial C_{i}(t,x,L_{y},z)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial C_{i}(t,x,y,0)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial C_{i}(t,x,y,L_{z})}{\partial z} = 0$$



Figure C3. Comparison of analytical (circles) and numerical solutions (solid curve). Here the duration period of the source is t_p = 8500 hr, the concentrations are evaluated at x=60 m, y=15 m and z=15 m.



Figure C4. Concentration contour plots on the x-z plane for: (a) viruses, (b) colloids, and (c) virus-colloid particles during virus and colloid cotransport, accounting for gravitational effects. Here t=6900 hr, and y=15 m.



Figure C5. Contour plots on the x-z plane for: (a-f) viruses (solid curves) and colloid particles (dashed curves), and (g-l) viruses (solid curves) and virus-colloid particles (dotted curves) during virus and colloid cotransport in the presence of gravitational effects.



Figure C6. Contour plots on the x-z plane for: (a-f) viruses (solid curves) and colloid particles (dashed curves), and (g-l) viruses (solid curves) and virus-colloid particles (dotted curves) during virus and colloid cotransport in the absence of gravitational effects.



Figure C7. Isosurface three-dimensional concentrations plots for viruses (blue surfaces) and virus-colloid particles (green surfaces), along with a projected contour plot on the x-y plane at z=15 m for colloid particles (brown contour). Here t=13000 hr.

Summary

A: Size-dependent dispersivity

- Colloid dispersivity is not only a function of scale, but also a function of colloid diameter and interstitial velocity.
- Contrary to earlier results, colloid dispersivity increases with increasing colloid diameter and interstitial velocity.
- Fitted dispersion coefficients based on tracer data should not be used to analyze colloid data.

B: Gravity effects

Flow direction influences colloid transport in porous media.

C: Cotransport with gravity effects

- The presence of dense colloids influence contaminant transport in porous media.
- Dense colloids can increase the vertical migration of viruses.

Thank you for your attention